School Plan for Student Achievement



A Resource for the School Site Council

uela: Adelanto Elementary School

trict: Adelanto School District

inty-District School (CDS) Code: 36-67892-007

cipal: Ramon Rizo

Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California *Educati* 507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all rograms funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

nal information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

tact Person: Ramon Rizo

ition: Principal

ephone Number: 760-246-5892

dress: 17931 Jonathan St

nail Address: ramon rizo@aesd.net

t Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on TBD.

Contents

ate for the Single Plan for Student Achievement

A: Planned Improvements in Student Performance

B: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

C: Programs Included in This Plan

D: School Site Council Membership

E: Recommendations and Assurances



lanned Improvements in Student Performance

Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key element all program for students failing to meet academic performance index and adequate yearly progress growth targets. As a result, it is following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state states.

onditions of Learning: All students are provided appropriately assigned and credentialed teachers, teachers and studen standards aligned materials in all content areas leading to high school readiness by grade 8, students will have access to mal technology, and school facilities will be in good repair.

L GOAL #1: Adelanto Elementary School, in coordination with our district HR department, will have fully credentialed both general and special education students. All students will have access to rigorous, CCSS (Common Core) aligned, dicurriculum to include appropriate technology with access to online resources.

| a did you use to form this goal? | What were the findings from the analysis of this data? | How will the school evaluate the parties this goal? |
|---|---|--|
| school wide Credentialed Staff | | |
| extbook Sufficiency Forms gy Inventory | Through our participation in annual Williams Act visitations, AES continues to score well in all areas including: structural and facilities in good | AES will hire qualified teachers to teacher vacancies. |
| | working order, textbook sufficiency, and credentialed teachers in each classroom. | AES will monitor technology inver- provide technology to teachers and |
| | Additional Technology is required in order for AES students to reach one-to-one technology ratio. | Where can a budget plan of the p expenditures for this goal be four |
| | Teacher Credential Status Fully Credentialed 16 Without Full Credentials 0 Teaching Outside Subject 0 Area of Competence (with full credential) 0 District-Adopted Textbooks | In the Cost and Funding Source sec |
| | Sufficient: Yes | |

• Lacking 0%

School Facility Conditions

- Systems (Gas leaks, Mech/HVAC, Sewer: Good
- Interior: Good
- Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestations): Good
- Electrical: Good
- Restrooms/Fountains: Good
- Safety (Fire safety, Hazardous materials): Good
- Structural (Structural damage, Roofs): Good
- External (Grounds, Windows, Doors, Gates, Fences): Good

Technology

- Chromebook Carts: 6 Carts with 20 & 8 with 30 Chromebooks
- Desktop Computers 2-4 desktop computers per classroom
- Computer Lab 30 desktop computers
- Big screen monitors in most general education classroom

GY: The school will continue to maintain work orders in a timely manner with guidance from the district for Williams A prove school wide technology infrastructure, and develop opportunities for our teachers to meet in collaborative PLCs th I year.

| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task/Date | Cost and Fund |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|
| 3-June 2019 | Site Adm. | By August 30 th , 2018 | District Paid |
| | District Personnel | San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools | |
| | | Williams Act Visit | |
| 18 – June 2019 | District Personnel, | Teacher grade level leads attend district sponsored | District Paid |
| | Principal and AAIIAC | collaboration meetings/ Professional developments | |

| district and school | | August 2018-June 2019 | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|
| er collaboration, | | Quarterly Data chats with grade-level | |
| ınd grade level | | | |
| which include | | | |
| ation | | | |
| 18 – June 2019 | District Personnel, | Inventory ordered and installed by IT. Upgrade laptops, | Title I |
| computers, | Principal and AAIIAC | projectors, document cameras, speakers and headphones). | \$18,228.00 |
| printers in each | | | |
| to support ELA | | | |
| n and Needs | | | |
| d by IT | | | |
| nt and the District. | | | |
| | | | |

upil Outcomes: Student achievement will increase in ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies/History, with a focus on cleent gap for struggling students.

C GOAL #2: For the 2018-2019 school year the proficiency level for all students (sub groups) in the ELA SBAC/CAASP sof Met or Exceeded will increase by 5 % or more (i.e. from 21% to at least 26%).

018-2019 school year the proficiency level for all students (sub groups) in the Math SBAC/CAASPP categories of Met or ase by 5 % or more (i.e. from 8.6% to at least 13.6%)

| a | did | you | use | to | form |
|---|-----|-----|-----|----|------|
| , | | | | | |

ol site teachers/Admin

enaissance STAR ELA & arly Literacy/Mathematics reening Reports strict CFA Benchmarks aring 2019 CAASPP exia ELA-Online of Math Data/Formative assessments

GSS- pilot assessment

teacher grades including rogress reports, and lata reports.

What were the findings from the analysis of this data?

As a school site teachers/Admin used:

- Renaissance STAR ELA & Early Literacy/Mathematics Screening Reports
- District CFA CAASPP aligned Benchmarks
- SBAC/CAASPP

STAR Growth Reports show overall growth in both Reading and Math in all grade levels in terms of scaled scores:

STAR Reading Scaled Score Growth Report (As of December Winter Benchmark)

Kinder Early Lit: +27

Grade 1: +5

Grade 2: +49

Grade 3: +44

Grade 4: +44

Grade 5: +29

STAR Math Scaled Score Growth Report (As of December Winter Benchmarks)

Grade 1: +41

Grade 2: +67

Grade 3: +66

Grade 4: +38

Grade 5: +20

All students are sent to school-wide MTSS classes designed to cater to the needs of grade-appropriate groups. (e.g. Based on STAR Screening Reports and other multiple measures, a 4th grade student may be placed in a 2nd grade MTSS group for a more appropriate MTSS instruction)

How will the schoot the progress of thi

We will revisit our weekly, monthly, quannually through pr monitoring and eva

- Online assessaligned with district wide ELA & Mat Grade From Jan. 2018 to writing.
- Online STA
 Math Assess
 Beginning of Winter, and
- Site teachers
 Admin will
 all data from
 STAR, and
 Learning ro

Where can a budg the proposed exper for this goal be found?

| STAR Early Literacy Data (Based on Dec. Winter Screening Report) | In the Cost and Fur |
|--|---------------------|
| Kinder | Section |
| ■ 32% At or Above | |
| ■ 12% On Watch | |
| ■ 15% Intervention | |
| ■ 41% Urgent Intervention | |
| \STAR Reading Data (Based on Dec. Winter Screening Report): | |
| Grade 1 | |
| ■ 47% At or Above | |
| ■ 5% On Watch | |
| ■ 13% Intervention | |
| ■ 34% Urgent Intervention | |
| Grade 2 | |
| ■ 32% At or Above | |
| ■ 4% On Watch | |
| ■ 17% Intervention | |
| ■ 47% Urgent Intervention | |
| Grade 3 | |
| ■ 27% At or Above | |
| ■ 18% On Watch | |
| ■ 12% Intervention | |
| ■ 43% Urgent Intervention | |
| Grade 4 | |
| ■ 22% At or Above | |
| ■ 18% On Watch | |
| ■ 33% Intervention | |
| ■ 27% Urgent Intervention | |
| Grade 5 | |
| ■ 20% At or Above | |
| ■ 12% On Watch | |
| ■ 31% Intervention | |
| ■ 37% Urgent Intervention | |
| STAR Math Data (Based on Dec. Winter Screening Report): | |
| Grade 1 | |

- 50% At or Above
- 19% On Watch
- 17% Intervention
- 14% Urgent Intervention

Grade 2

- 33% At or Above
- 12% On Watch
- 27% Intervention
- 29% Urgent Intervention

Grade 3

- 35% At or Above
- 19% On Watch
- 13% Intervention
- 33% Urgent Intervention

Grade 4

- 38% At or Above
- 18% On Watch
- 29% Intervention
- 16% Urgent Intervention

Grade 5

- 43% At or Above
- 10% On Watch
- 18% Intervention
- 29% Urgent Intervention

Our CAASPP ELA data show 11.10% growth from 2017 to 2018 school year, more than our goal of 5% increase. Our CAASPP Math data on the other hand show only 0.80% increase from the previous year's. The subgroups that did not show growth in ELA and Math are SpEd and EL.

GY: During 2018-2019, the school will continue to implement a school-wide ELA & Mathematics multi-tiered system of the academic needs of all students/subgroups as measured by Common Formative Assessments, Benchmarks (CFA), and the Progress Monitoring Reports. In order to ensure a holistic, balanced ELA program, writing continues to be our school. nclude staff development with the use of Thinking Maps and ACE Strategy. AES will also adopt the use of Khan Acade I MTSS resource and likewise participate in the NGSS state assessment, provide staff development in the Next Generations, and participate in the district wide science fair.

ss the needs of the SpEd and EL subgroups (the subgroups that did not do well in CAASPP), we have purchased and use rective Reading, MobyMax, and Touch Math for SpEd students. We have also intensified use of EL strategies and progress, Thinking Maps, and Ellevation. Moreover, we have conducted more effective data checks and chats, student progress rees (SPMC), Professional Learning Community (PLC), and collaboration to more effectively implement MTSS.

| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task/Date | Cost and Fundin |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| 18-June 2019 | Site Adm.& AAIAC, | By Sept. 30 th , 2018 | Title I |
| | Lexia Learning & STAR | Collect and analyze district and school-level summative | \$2000.00 |
| e math levels of | Champions, | and formative Math Data; identify all students through | |
| s on STAR ELA | Grade Level PLCs, | the process of Math instruction needing intervention, | |
| nd district | Classroom Teachers, | enrichment and/or specific CCSS mathematical needs. | |
| ks and utilize this | | | |
| on in planning and | | End of Each New MTSS Cycle 2018/2019 | |
| a multi-tiered | | in teacher PLCs, develop specific criteria for | |
| support (MTSS) | | identifying, selecting, implementing, monitoring, and | |
| dents using the | | evaluating research-based math intervention programs | None |
| Vonders & Go | | that are designed to meet individual | |
| iculum along with | | mathematical needs of all students, measure growth, and | |
| ommended | | accelerate numeracy skills. Further discuss the use of | |
| on materials to | | Khan Academy and Go Math online resources on Think | |
| individual | | Central to include hands on math manipulatives | |
| nematical needs of | | | |
| s/subgroups to | | | |
| ngoing | | | |
| ts of student | | | |
| | | | |

GY: The school will train, reinforce, and support all teachers, leaders, and staff regarding the following four core instru : District adopted strategy for implicit, explicit, and interactive instruction, English Language Development(ELD) and ling/GLAD, Academic Language Development, and formative and diagnostic assessments.

| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task/Date | Cost and Funding |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| of the Math & vention program. | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Aug. 2018-June 2019 Select and/or develop Math/ELA intervention materials and resources; purchase supplementary instructional materials to include Explode the Code and Corrective Reading materials needed for MTSS/RTI (response to interventions) groups. | \$2,000 for Instructi Math Materials from Title I |
| | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Develop math intervention program goals, service delivery models, and teaching and learning expectations and outcomes; review and evaluate district approved Math/ELA intervention programs and benchmark assessments. | District Paid |
| | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, | Develop a master schedule that reflects allocated time for Math/ELA interventions during site staff meetings. | None |
| | PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Schedule and provide initial training for instructional staff and schedule follow up professional development activities in the areas of: • Touch Math/Explode the Code & Corrective Reading (MTSS/RSP) professional development will be available for all 1 st and 2 nd grade teachers for the purposes of developing MTSS groups. Special education teachers will also have access to these materials to be used with their students as a kinesthetic alternative to learning mathematics. • Khan Academy For the use of enhancing Math/ELA materials already in place. Site IT ancillary duty teacher | \$1000.00 for Profes Development to inc training and substit from Title I |

| | | will be given any P/D necessary to accomplish this goal. • Ren-Learn Math Tools Math/STAR ELA Math professional development will be available for all grade level teachers for the purposes of developing MTSS groups. Site IT ancillary duty teacher will be given any P/D necessary to accomplish this goal. | \$1,000.00 From Tit |
|--|--|---|---------------------|
| 018-June 2019 cycle of inquiry plement the mathematics | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Weekly: Each grade level will monitor and analyze student performance data, analyze and set student growth targets, and modify lesson plans according to pacing guides through data chats. | Title II \$1175 |
| on program and ngoing evaluations ne student and utcomes and going program | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | MTSS Cycle: (5 weeks) Continue cycle of inquiry with all staff: monitor program implementation and analyze student data at the end of each 5 week MTSS rotation. | Title II \$1175 |
| Sound brogram | School Site Council members and Chairperson | Quarterly and Annually: Monitor and evaluate Math/ELA intervention program goals and objectives; determine if goals and objectives are being met. Findings will be reflected in the SSC minutes. | Title II \$1175 |
| 18-June 2019 | | Aug. 2018-June 2019 | |
| t of Instruction tent Standards | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Continue using appropriate supplemental (SBE) State Board of Education standards-aligned ELA & Math materials to fill instructional gaps. Compliance will be determined by principal classroom walk-throughs, teacher lesson plans, and increased student achievement as determined by district benchmarks. | District Paid |

| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task/Date | Cost and Funding |
|--|--|--|--|
| 118-June 2019 Lent of hal Strategies, and online Math on based | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Aug. 2018-June 2019 Plan and implement uniform strategies and interventions for targeted students identified at strategic and intensive levels in Math/ELA with the use of grade level MTSS teachers. Grade level teams will discuss data in their PLCs. Implementation determined by lesson plans, increased student achievement as determined by uniform assessments. | District Paid Additional see #6 |
| | | Use of a systematic approach for targeting students' instruction/interventions in mathematics by using Ren-Learn and EADMS data. Upgrade hardware and software, i.e.: computers, monitors, big screen monitors, printers etc. as needed. Needs determined by IT Department recommendation | As above for technologrades to supporting instruction from Title I & LCFF-FO |
| 18-June 2019 | Site Adm.& AAIAC, | based upon inventory and the district technology plan. Aug. 2018-June 2019 | \$1964 for Before at |
| | STAR Champions, | | School Tutoring/Ce |
| Learning Times | PLC/Leadership Team, | Support before and after school intervention programs | Extra Duty Hourly |
| | Classroom Teachers | as evidenced by attendance and expenditure reports. | Title I & IV |

| 18-June 2019 | | Aug. 2018-June 2019 | |
|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| Services for and Parents | Site Adm.& AAIAC, STAR Champions, PLC/Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers | Support activities such as student award assemblies, promotions, back-to-school night, PBIS incentives, and Literacy/Math/Science Night to promote student/parent engagement. Services determined by flyers, online In-Touch "All Call" system, agendas, and sign-in sheets, etc. | \$1,000.00 From Title I |
| | | | |

ngagement: Increase student engagement by providing a safe school environment which fosters increased communication between l, encourages parent and community involvement, and focuses on improving the school climate for all students. Decrease suspensive parents and community involvement, and focuses on improving the school climate for all students. ncrease attendance to over 98%

GOAL #3: School suspensions will decrease by 15% by the end of the 2nd semester of 2018-2019 School Year by using school Sehavior Supports. a did you use to form this goal?

What were the findings from the analysis of

this data?

al Skills Lesson

| n Rates | | _ |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| ferral Rates | As we look at SWIS and CICO (check-in, check- | The school will monitor referral, sc |
| untain Children's Center Referral | out) tier II data, we find that many students who | PBIS Tier I, II, III interventions, su |
| | have been identified as at risk also tend to make | rates throughout the school year to |
| ocumentation of SST Meetings | poor choices and have high rates of classroom | we are on track to decrease suspens |
| neck In/Check Out Logs | referrals. By adhering to the established PBIS | |
| ectations for Locations | student handbook and providing proactive | Where can a budget plan of the p |

strategies that will help avoid conflict, we will

begin to see a decrease in student office referrals. We need to strengthen all levels of support.

How will the school evaluate the

expenditures for this goal be four

In the Cost and Funding Source See

this goal?

GY: During 2018-2019, the school will implement a school-wide system Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports Syst

| cess to Her One, Her I wo, and Her I hree supports appropriate to their needs. | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------|-----------------|--|
| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task | Cost and Fundin | |

| 18-June 2019 | Site Adm.& AAIAC, and | Aug. 2018-June 2019 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| n will act as to support the ative on campus | Tier I, II, III PBIS Teams, SST Coordinator and Team, Classroom | Collect and analyze school-level PBIS data to identify all students that need support. | \$1,500.00 for traini substitute costs from Title IV |
| 1 | Teachers | Receive PBIS training and train other staff as needed with several dates throughout the year. | |
| | | Develop specific criteria for identifying, selecting, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating PBIS interventions and supports. | |

| ction/Date | Person(s) Responsible | Task/Date | Cost and Fundin |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|
| 18-June 2019 | | | \$1100 From Title I |
| | Site Adm.& AAIAC, and | Create School-wide Calendar for Tier One Instruction | |
| ts will be taught | Tier I, II, III PBIS | | |
| d reinforcement in | Teams, | All classrooms should provide PBIS Instruction daily | |
| I Classroom | SST Coordinator and | and offer reinforcement to students as needed. | |
| n through PBIS | Team, Classroom | | |
| ns and | Teachers | All classrooms will show evidence of PBIS in classroom | |
| ns matrix. | | environments. | |
| | | | |
| | | Referrals will be made to outside resources as needed | |
| | | with the support of parents. | |
| | | | |
| ĺ | | | |

| vill be offered d III as needed I Instruction has | Site Adm.& AAIAC, Tier I, II, III PBIS Teams, and Classroom Teachers | Students in need of PBIS Tier II support will be referred to Check In/Check Out. School forms to include referrals, "Think Sheets", and CICO. Tier Three students will then have an SST scheduled/completed and fulfill any additional goals. | \$1,000.00 From Title IV |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | Site Adm.& AAIAC, Tier II team, SELPA support | The Tier II team will receive further support from SELPA/DMCC | County SELPA |
| | Site Administration, Tier I, II PBIS Team | Online SWIS account, and technology | AESD Grant |
| 18-June 2019 | Site Adm.& AAIAC | Safe School Ambassadors will meet formally in Safe School Ambassador family groups monthly to improve | \$500.00 for Training/Substitute |
| ol Ambassadors as Tier One, Tier Tier Three | Safe School Ambassador Team | the culture on campus and to receive instruction. Safe School Ambassadors will meet informally as needed to improve the culture on campus. | From Title IV |
| ve measure to ne school culture | Tier II team, SELPA support | To include anti-bullying assembly, annually. Box Out Bullying | \$1,100 From Title 1 |
| ction/Date | Dangan(s) Dagnangihla | Task/Date | Cost and Fundin |
| | Person(s) Responsible | | |
| 2018-June 2019 | Site Administration, Certificated Staff, | Provide strategies in social skills and building team - work ethics to improve the culture on our campus. | County SELPA |
| ounselors will | Classified Staff, | -Three intervention creating individual, small group, | |
| Tier Two and | SELPA Counselors | whole class interventions. | |

appropriate timelines.

trauma on our students.

November 2018

Provide an in depth review of proper SSC (school site

council) procedures, who should be on the council, and

All district certificated staff will attend presentation by Dr. Powell describing statistical data on the effects of

District Paid

District Paid

eacher/Admin

Informed al development

ng district wide

District Personnel,

Teachers, AAIIAC and

Principal

Principal

| | | · | |
|--|---|--|------------------|
| ith Sped support o include: IEPs & tions | Teachers, AAIIAC and Principal | October-November 2018 | District Paid |
| Administrative | Teachers & admin | August to April 2018 | District Paid |
| hool program tive Brain | District Admin & Creative Brain Principal | Students are invited to submit applications in late spring and currently the program is almost at full capacity at my site. Elementary schools are awarded 83 spaces per site while K-8 and middle school are awarded 111 spaces. Homeless and Foster Youth receive priority admissions and are never denied enrollment. Creative Brain staff, site administration and district administration, collaborate closely in order to address issues related to student programming, student discipline, and parent concerns. The afterschool program serves as a resource for our community and continues to be a great support for homework, robotics, coding, and afterschool sports etc. | AESD Grant Funde |

entralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

ing actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the tota tegorical program in Form B must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

ralized services may include the following direct services:

earch-based instructional strategies, curriculum development, school climate, and data disaggregation for instructional staff trict-wide staff providing specific services to schools, e.g., English Language Development Coordinator, Teachers on Special A ructional Coaches

er—School and Summer School programs funded by categorical programs a analysis services, software, and training for assessment of student progress

rograms Included in this Plan

box for each state and federal program in which the school participates. Enter the amounts allocated for each program in which is and, if applicable, check the box indicating that the program's funds are being consolidated as part of operating a schoolwide per plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal programs in which the school per pages should match the cost estimates in Form A and the school's allocation from the ConApp.

nany of the funding sources listed below, school districts may be exercising Categorical Program Provisions options (flexibility a available at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/co/documents/sbx34budgetflex.doc.

r following options, please select the one that describes this school site:

operates as a targeted assistance school (TAS), not as a schoolwide program (SWP).

operates a SWP but does not consolidate its funds as part of operating a SWP.

operates a SWP and consolidates only applicable federal funds as part of operating a SWP.

operates a SWP and consolidates all applicable funds as part of operating a SWP.

| grams | Allocation | Consolidated in the S |
|--|------------|-----------------------|
| fornia School Age Families Education (Carryover only) Purpose: Assist ctant and parenting students to succeed in school | \$ | |
| nomic Impact Aid/State Compensatory Education (EIA-SCE) (Carryover only) ose: Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program | \$ | |
| nomic Impact Aid/Limited-English Proficient (EIA-LEP) (Carryover only) ose: Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners | \$ | |
| · Assistance and Review (Carryover only) ose: Assist teachers through coaching and mentoring | \$ | |

| ressional Development Block Grant (Carryover only) Purpose: Attract, train, and n classroom personnel to improve student performance in core curriculum areas | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| lity Education Investment Act (QEIA) ose: Funds are available for use in performing various specified measures to rove academic instruction and pupil academic achievement | | | |
| ool and Library Improvement Program Block Grant (Carryover only) ose: Improve library and other school programs | | | |
| ool Safety and Violence Prevention Act (Carryover only) ose: Increase school safety | | \$ | |
| acco-Use Prevention Education ose: Eliminate tobacco use among students | | \$ | |
| and Describe Other State or Local Funds (e.g., Career and Tec. E], etc.) 1001 Focus FOCUS-LCAP funds | hnical Education | \$0.00 | Ø |
| Total amount of state categorical funds allo | cated to this school | | |
| | | | |
| rograms | | Allocation | Consolidated in the SWP |
| e I, Part A: Allocation pose: To improve basic programs operated by local educational ag | gencies (LEAs) | \$38,716.0 0 | Consolidated in the SWP |

| Program Improvement Schools only: Title I, Part A gram Improvement (PI) Professional Development (10 cent minimum reservation from the Title I, Part A reservation for pols in PI Year 1 and 2) | \$ | | | |
|---|----------------------|------|----------|--|
| e II, Part A: Improving Teacher Quality pose: Improve and increase the number of highly qualified teachers | s and principals | \$ | 3,525 | Ø |
| e III, Part A: Language Instruction for Limited-English-Profic dents pose: Supplement language instruction to help LEP students attain ficiency and meet academic performance standards | | \$ | | Title III funds may no consolidated as part of a |
| e VI, Part B: Rural Education Achievement Program pose: Provide flexibility in the use of ESEA funds to eligible LEAs | : | \$ | | |
| School Improvement Schools only: School Improvement Gran pose: to address the needs of schools in improvement, corrective acructuring to improve student achievement | ` / | \$ | | |
| er federal funds Title IV | | \$ | 6,350 | Ø |
| er federal funds (list and describe) | | \$ | | |
| er federal funds (list and describe) | | \$ | | |
| Total amount of federal categorical funds alloc | cated to this school | | | |
| unt of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school | | \$48 | 3,591.00 | |
| | | | | |

ds are not a school level allocation even if allocated by the district to a school site. The LEA is responsible for fiscal reporting and monitorin legate their authority to a site at which the program is being implemented. If Title III funds are spent at a school site, they must be used for of Title III and only for those students the LEA has identified for services. For more information please contact the Language Policy and Le 016-319-0845.

| Title I-supported activities that are not | shown on this page may be in | ncluded in the SPSA Action I | Plan. |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

chool Site Council Membership

Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principalities of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parently adding the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school.² The current is as follows:

| | | | | | 1 |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Names of Members | Principal | Classroo m Teacher | Other School Staff | Parent or Communit y Member | Secondary Student |
| Ramon Rizo | × | | | | |
| Maria Sandoval | | × | | | |
| Robin Brown | | × | | | |
| Marty Draper | | × | | | |
| Alejandra Alvarado | | | | | |
| Maria Osorio | | | | | |
| Mychelle Campbell | | | | | |
| Amanda Quiroga | | | | × | |
| Blanca Velez | | | | X | |
| Monica Reyes | | | × | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

า 52852

| Numbers of members in each category | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | |
| ecommendations and Assurances site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and e following: | proposed expe | enditures to the | district gove | rning board for a | approval and ass |
| C is correctly constituted and was formed in accorda | nce with distric | et governing bo | ard policy ar | nd state law. | |
| C reviewed its responsibilities under state law and dis in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) | | • | s, including t | hose board polic | ies relating to m |
| C sought and considered all recommendations from t | the following gr | roups or comm | ittees before | adopting this pla | an (Check those |
| e Compensatory Education Advisory Committee | | Signa | ture | | |
| ish Learner Advisory Committee | | Signa | ture | | |
| cial Education Advisory Committee | | Signa | ture | | |
| ted and Talented Education Advisory Committee | | Signa | ture | | |
| trict/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Im | provement | Signa | ture | | |
| npensatory Education Advisory Committee | | Signa | ture | | |
| partmental Advisory Committee (secondary) | | Signa | ture | | |
| | | | | | |

Signature

er committees established by the school or district (list)

| et, including those found in | district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan. |
|------------------------------|--|
| • | analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensional goals to improve student academic performance. |
| PSA was adopted by the SS | C at a public meeting on:12/19/18. |
| f School Principal | Ramon Rizo Date |
| f SSC Chairperson | Mychelle Campbell, Date |
| | |

C reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements